

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSICNER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.iispto.gov

APPER A CHAR NO	, !	ILING DATE	FREEDAMEDIAVIATOR	ALTÜRNEY DUCKELNU	CONFIRMATION NO
09 978,110		10 15 2001	Menno Heringa	01-48 US	3739
23643	7590	06/09/2003			8
Varian In			EXAMINER		
Legal Department 3120 Hansen Way D-102				MENON, KR	RISHNAN S
Palo Alto, CA 94304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1723	
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/978,110	HERINGA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Krishnan S Menon	1723
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. In. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty. Fried will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 April 2003 .	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur		
Disposition of Claims		, 6.6.2
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to be provided to be provided to by the Example 100 The specification is objected to be provided to be pro	_	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	, , ,	
Applicant may not request that any objection 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		···
If approved, corrected drawings are required		isapproved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	S =	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5.119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	roigh phone, and or or o.c.o.	(4)
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		oplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	• •	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	•	
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No. 	3) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)n formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademan, Office	· -	

Application/Control Number: 09/978,110 Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Netherlands on 10/13/00. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Foreign application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 19 and 20 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Inventions in claims 19-20 and claims 1-18 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 19 and 20 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,110

Art Unit: 1723

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear to the examiner as to what is claimed in claim 1: the combination of the carrier and the support structure comprising a wound chromatographic column, or the sub-combination of the carrier with a connecting part connecting the carrier to the support structure. The examiner considers claim 1 as reciting the sub-combination for examination purposes. In claim 1, it is also unclear as to what component is connected to the support structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5,6, 8,10-14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rounbehler et al (US 5,808,178).

Rounbehler (178) teaches a carrier (120-fig 2-3) for the support structure having a chromatographic column assembly (110-fig 1; col 3 lines 30-35) as in instant claim 1 with a connecting part (140, 125) to the support structure (118); the carrier is in the form of a strip (120-fig 3) as in instant claim 2 with apertures as in instant claim 3 (unmarked hole on top of 120-fig 3); the carrier comprises metal as in instant claim 5 (col 3 lines 43-49); a part for mounting a column connector (200-fig 6-8; col 4 lines 14-21) as in instant claim 6; the carrier has a device for holding the column (holder 118 – fig 3) as in instant claim 8; the carrier has a structure for winding the column

(120- fig 2,3) as in instant claim 10 which includes one or more connectors for connecting to the carrier (125,145-fig 2-3) as in instant claim 11. The rings (125-fig 2,3) have hooks (140 fig 2-3) to connect to the holder (118-fig 2,3) as in instant claim 12. The structure comprises upper and lower annular members (125) connected by plurality of connecting bars (120) and forms the connectors as in claim 13 (Note: claim 13 is depending from claim 10; however, claim 10 does not recite 'connectors', which appears to be an error).

Rounbehler (178) teaches a strip form (120) with slots 135 (fig 3) forming "clips" to hold ends of the chromatographic column as in instant claim 14.

Claim 1/: Rounbehler teaches a chromatographic column comprising a column assembly comprising a winding structure (110), a tubular gas chromatographic column wound on the winding structure (118), a strip shaped carrier attaches to the structure at two opposite ends of the carrier (120), the strip allows a user to carry the column (see fig 2,3; and col 3 lines 30-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Ca.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,110 Art Unit: 1723

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 1. Claims 7,9,15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rounbehler (178) in view of Haas et al (US 6,454,939).

Rounbehler (178) teaches a strip form part for use with a winding structure of a chromatographic column as in instant claim 15 and 16, and all the elements of claims 7 and 9 as in claim 1 above, but is silent on displaying information as in instant claim 7 and 15 and the strip part containing indicia as in instant claim 9 and 16.

Haas (939) teaches such a strip with display information and measurement indicia and/or stencil for marking/measuring the TLC plates, for a thin-layer chromatographic system (see fig 2 and col 2 lines 40-45). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teaching of Haas (939) and provide display information and measurement indicia on the strip form parts of Rounbehler (178) for measuring insertion depth or column length like what is taught by Haas (939).

2. Claims 4 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rounbehler (178).

Rounbehler teaches all the limitations of claim 1 and 17. Claims 4 and 18 add further limitations of a further support structure with further wound chromatographic column as in claim 4 and 18, with the winding concentric as in claim 18. Rounbehler does not teach further support structure in Fig 2 and 3 and col 3 lines 30-35. However Rounbehler teaches a further chromatographic column (more than one column) in a concentric arrangement in fig 25 and col 22 lines 3-15. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have a

Application/Control Number: 09/978,110

Art Unit: 1723

further (second) column wound on to the structure 118 as taught by Rounbehler, by having a further structure (118) (an extension) for carrying additional columns or decoy columns as taught by Rounbehler.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 4/18/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Argument re claim 1: Claims 1 claims a carrier allowing a user to carry a chromatographic column mounted on a support structure. Strip 120 is a carrier because it allows one to carry the column (135) and the support structure (118). 120 is connected to the support structure 118. Argument re claims 14-16: again, 120 is in a strip form, and support structure 118 could be held by 120.

Conclusion

This action is made non-final because the examiner inadvertently missed treating claim 13 on merits in the previous office action (paper 5).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S Menon whose telephone number is 703-305-5999. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,110 Art Unit: 1723

Krishnan Menon Patent Examiner June 6, 2003 JOSEPH DRODGE
PRIMARY EXAMINER